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Open government is just as important in a modern republic as it was two centuries ago. Larger bureaucratic states threatened to overwhelm the ability of citizens and their representatives to keep track of government. Revelations of corrupt government officials, fraud in various programs, subsidies to chosen groups or companies, and laws written by lobbyists still surface. Fortunately, more tools are available every day to make more information available from more governments to more people.¹

The John Locke Foundation is taking steps to help governments become more open. Our NCTransparency.com site helps taxpayers find government information online and gives grades for a quick check of how much is available for a state agency, local government, or school district. Our latest policy report on transparency provides three areas for improved transparency and some examples of what is already available.²

This guide has four sections:
1. How to think about transparency
2. How to increase financial transparency
3. How to expand transparency to the process of governing
4. How to plan for transparency

1. How to Think About Transparency
1.1 Transparency is a tool, not a task

The first step to transparency and more open government is for government officials to change perspective. Transparency is essential for voters to understand where their money goes. But its greatest value is as a tool to improve government performance. Government employees, managers, and elected officials can learn as much about their operations from online checkbooks and clear budgets as taxpayers and voters can. Open-books efforts in Texas, Missouri, and other states already have proven their value in eliminating duplicate contracts and finding wasted money.
1.2. Spending more time acting, not reacting

If information is readily available in a useful way, constituents do not need to call and ask for simple requests. State agencies and local governments already offer many services in user-friendly formats online including the ability to pay bills, renew vehicle registrations, and register for classes. If governments made financial information available in formats that were as easy to navigate, taxpayers might seek out the information on their own instead of contacting town staff with questions, freeing staff time to focus on more important matters.
1.3. The right data every time

If you went to the Charlotte Observer’s database of public university employee salaries in November 2009, you would see Mary Easley listed at North Carolina State University with a salary of $170,000. The university had eliminated her position in June. When governments fail to put information online in a structured format, they leave the public debate to outdated or incorrect information.

2. How to Increase Financial Transparency
2.1. Opening the budget

Many governments already make some version of their budget available online. Most often it is just a scanned-in pdf whose contents cannot be searched or exported. The state budget bill comes in two parts, and neither provides the full amount of money that flows through state coffers. Too few budgets are in a format that a taxpayer can use or understand. Online, most local budgets either provide no detail or come in pieces without context. The legislative Fiscal Research Division posts a budget summary in January that shows state and federal dollars in the budget, but without enough to tie it back to the budget bill.
2.2. Opening checkbooks & contracts

The state has put contracts and grants online with NCOpenBook.gov, where one can see North Carolina State University had three contracts for Star Trac Bikes between January and April worth a combined $57,000. Wake County has put recent spending online in a reasonably friendly format, though without a lot of detail. The town of Columbus, with about 1,000 residents also puts a pdf of its check register online each month. None of these improvements cost taxpayers very much, but each provides much needed reports on how the government handles taxpayers’ money.
2.3. Measuring for results

Public information on government spending only covers half of the question. That spending has to accomplish something. Not even government can manage what it does not measure. Unfortunately, too few governments actually measure program outcomes.

The governor’s budget proposal claims to have performance-based measures, but those measures mostly track what goes into a government program, such as national board certified teachers, instead of what the program achieves, such as educated students. There is also no clear mission or vision to help determine outcomes for most agencies or programs.

The John Locke Foundation is helping to fix this problem with a state taxpayer return on investment study, dropout grant performance reviews, and a measure of how parent-friendly school districts are.


3. How to Expand Transparency to the Process of Governing
3.1. Open communications

All official written and electronic communication with government staff or elected officials are already public documents even if that communication is done via personal email, Twitter, Facebook, text, or another medium. Elected and politically appointed officials should be more willing to use open communication tools such as Twitter and Facebook. Governments at all levels should also take a more active approach to safeguarding all electronic communication for a reasonable period of time.
3.2. Open meetings

Governments in general are doing a better job at announcing future meeting dates, providing agendas, broadcasting the session on television or internet, recording the proceedings, and making minutes available. All of which make the remaining holdouts more egregious. It is not hard to do this, nor is it expensive. Open meetings, public hearings, and answering the press are how government officials build trust with constituents.

The regulatory bureaucracy is the fastest growing area of government and the least open. Proposed regulations need to be easy for taxpayers to find and understand whether those regulations set rules and rates for insurance, redefine what constitutes clean air, decide whose property rights can be subsumed to the greater good, or divine the future need for health care in every area of the state. The current regulatory systems virtually guarantee that only those with a large vested interest in the outcome make their voices heard. Those groups are willing to commit the time and resources to track the regulatory process and to intervene. The general interest loses to the special interests.

3.3. Open regulations
4.0. Plan for Openness

Once any level of government commits to openness, it must follow through. Few things can destroy credibility as quickly as failing to act on promises made. Citizens who would have been willing to help will become adversarial. Outside organizations will make information requests and post it online themselves where updates might be slow in coming.

Government is not “Fawlty Towers.” There cannot be good government without citizen taxpayers. Meetings of special interest groups, often referred to as stakeholders, are no substitute for actual open communication with constituents or, in the case of school districts, with parents. Open government is not just an exercise in scanning documents and putting the pdf online. When done right, open government transforms how government works and what it does. Consider how residents might want to look at the budget. Think about how to design a website that can be improved through constant small iterations instead of occasional overhauls. As government officials prepare for software purchases, system upgrades, and the next budget cycle, think about changes that can facilitate openness.

Governments must plan to be open.
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